

Your Ref. TRO20002

My Ref. MAN-038

6<sup>th</sup> July 2021.

Dear Sir,

Please find enclosed my objections to the re-opening of Manston Airport.

General of the points refer to my previous comments. However, I would like to draw your attention to the new evidence: point one: Proof that air pollution does kill!

Yours Faithfully

# Manston Airport (TR020002)

## My Reference MAN-038

### Objections to Re-opening

#### Health

1 W.H.O. research highlight negative effects: asthma, lung disease, dementia and children's cognitive development.

2 Flight path 600 feet above ground level: directly over a grammar school, housing and shops. Several primary schools and nurseries within flight corridor.

#### Environmental

1 The Government to phase out diesel and petrol cars yet appear to accept planes polluting Central Ramsgate.

2 Manston is seventy miles from London - negative effect on environment by goods being moved either by road or rail.

#### Economic

1 Sajid Javid, when Home Secretary, stated; "Manston not a national asset regarding aviation".

2 The Planning Inspectorate, after consultation 2020 concluded; Manston re-opening would not improve local economy.

3 Covid 19 means other airports have spare capacity with regards to both freight and passengers.

### New Evidence

1 The tragic death of Ella Adoo-Kissi-Debrah, the first person to have air-pollution as a factor on her death certificate

1a Coroner, Philip Barlow; "Air pollution was a material contribution

1b Sadig Khan; "Ministers now must learn the lessons."

2 Colony of rare, protected butterfly (Heath Fritillaries) found in Victoria Wood, Whitstable, under the proposed take-off flight path.

### Conclusion

If the only thing that has changed since the last consultation is the Government Planning Laws then none of the facts have changed.

The proposal to re-open Mauston is dangerous to the health of the people of Ramsgate, damages the environment and wildlife while doing nothing for the local economy.